# **Geomorphic Unit Toolkit**

### 2015 CHaMP Camp – Advanced Workshop

Cove, Oregon – June 4th 2015

Presenters:

Joe Wheaton (USU) Phillip Bailley





# THREE PRIMARY MOTIVATIONS

- Geomorphic Units comprise fish habitat -> Build stronger fish habitat relationships
- 2. Geomorphic Units are readily derivable from topography, if we have clearer topographic definitions

CHaMP

 Geomorphic Unit Assemblages are predictable by reach type & condition



vegetated bar platform

Uplands

Escarpment

Escarpment



## IN CHAMP, CREWS TAUGHT HOW TO PAINT



• As surveyors, they are artists... painting a quantitative picture of habitat with topography

CHaMP

### **CHANNEL UNITS & CREW VARIABILITY**

### **Comparing Simple vs Complex Sites**





**GRANDE RONDE RIVER - UPPER** 



CHaMP

Take home: A lot of crew variability



Pool, Riffle Run, Riffle Pool, Run, Riffle

GRANDE RONDE RIVER UPPER



# HYDRAULICALLY INFORMED MUs



• 2D Hydraulic classification to determine morphological units



 See Wyrick & Pasternack (2014) Geomorphology



http://pasternack.ucdavis.edu/research/projects/ne ar-census-river-science/2dmus/

# **GEOMORPHIC UNIT DEFINITION**

- A geomorphic unit is a landform that is a byproduct of erosion and deposition of sediment
- Fluvial geomorphic units are the result of fluvial (by water) erosion and deposition

For mapping purposes:

- GU's are spatially continuous areas that can be topographically defined
- GU's can be represented on a cell-by-cell basis by probabilistic or fuzzy membership in a class (e.g. probability of being a bar)
- GU's are often represented as polygons



### TAXONOMY FOR MAPPING FLUVIAL LANDFORMS

- Four Tiers
  - Stage Height
  - Shape
  - Morphology
  - Roughness/Vegetation
- Over 95 fluvial geomorphic units found in literature, of which 70 are distinctive
- Clearer, topographically based definitions



Wheaton et al. (Accepted) - Geomorphology

### TIER 1 – STAGE HEIGHT -> LEADS TO GEOMORPHIC MAP





GEOMORPHIC UNITS (TIER 1)

Ch = Channel
 Tr= Terrace
 Fp= Floodplain
 Fa= Fan
 Hs = Hillslope

The building blocks of a Valley?

VS.

• The building blocks of a Valley Bottom?

Wheaton et al. (Accepted) - Geomorphology

### TIER 1 APPLIED

 Contrasting valley settings show different distributions of tier 1 geomorphic Units...

Wheaton et al. (Accepted) - Geomorphology





(B) Bear Valley Creek



(C) Lemhi River

MARGIN TYPES



 PES
 GEOMORPHIC UNITS (TIER 1)

 Confining Margin
 Ch = Channel

 Valley Margin
 Fp= Floodplain

 Valley Bottom Margin
 Hs = Hillslope

## TIER 2 - SHAPE



## **TIER 2 APPLIED**





#### GEOMORPHIC UNITS TIER 2 - Shape / Type

Transition Zones

Channel Margin

Structural Elements

LWD

**IN-CHANNEL** 

Concave In-Channel (i.e. Pools)
 Convex In-Channel Features (i.e. Bars)
 Planar In-Channel Features

OUT-OF-CHANNEL

Convex Fan

Planar Active Floodplain

Wheaton et al. (Accepted) - Geomorphology

Α

# TIER 3 - MORPHOLOGY



BONNEVILLI YOURY ADMINISTRATION



ISEMP

Key Tier 3 Attributes:	Types	Description
		Occurs when a non-uniform hydraulic flow pattern creates a flow environment conducive to forcing the formation,
GU Forcing		maintenance or accentuation of a geomorphic unit.
	∟ Not Forced	The GU forms on its own (e.g. free bars)
	L By Structural Element	Forcing can be caused by structural elements (e.g. large woody debris causing a plunge pool or an eddy bar)
	∟ By Geomorphic Unit	Forcing can be caused by another geomorphic unit (e.g. a pool can be forced by a bar)
	∟ By Planform	Forcing can be induced by sinuosity (e.g. flow separation on inside bends leading to point bars)
	∟By Flow Width	Forcing is often associated with flow width expansion for depositional units and flow with constriction for erosional units
GU Orientation		The orientation is defined by the longest axis of the geomorphic unit and relative to dominant flow direction.
	∟ Transverse	Transverse units are oriented perpendicular to the flow (e.g. riffles)
	∟ Streamwise	Streamwise units are oriented parallel to the flow (e.g. forced pools are elongated in a streamwise fashion associated with the convergent flow jet)
	∟ Diagonal	Diagonal units intersect the channel at an angle and flow is shunted diagonally over them at high flows.
	∟ Radial DS	Some units have lobate shapes (e.g. lobate bars) which
GU Position		Defines the position of the GU within the low-flow channel
		Many units are appended to the channel margins (e.g. <i>point bars</i> ); Note 'bank-attached' is common termionology in the fluvial literature even though the entire length of all channels are bound by true banks. Channel margins is a more generic term, but less common.
	∟ Channel Spanning	Some units are bank-attached on both sides and span the entire low flow channel (e.g. riffles)
	∟ Mid-Channel	Some units are not attached to a channel margin and occur in the center of a channel (e.g. longitudinal bar)
	∟ Side-Channel	For some mapping purposes, it is helpful to differentiate units that only occur in side and/or secondary channels
Low Flow Water Surface S	Slope	Especially for in-channel, planar units, low flow water surface slope is a helpful way of differentiating across the spectrum from low-slope glides, through intermediate slope runs and riffles, through high slope rapids, up to very high slope cascades.
	∟ Flat	Water surface slope = 0
	∟ Shallow	Water surface slope < 0.005
	∟ Moderate	Water surface slope > 0.005 & < 0.03
	∟ Steep	Water surface slope > 0.03
Low Flow Relative Rough	iness	Relative roughness is defined as the ratio of roughness height to flow depth ( $z_0/h$ ).
Ū		Relative roughness < 0.5 (i.e. majority of flow depth not obstructed by substrate)
	∟ Moderate	Relative roughness between 0.5 and 1 (i.e. majority of flow depth obstructed by substrate, but substrate not protruding from water surface)
	L High	Relative roughness > 1 (i.e. particles protruding from water surface)
	∟ Very High	Relative roughness >> 1 (i.e. flow depth is negligible relative to massive boulders protruding from water surface)

Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3			•	-			
Stage Height	Shape/Type	Specific Morphology		Key Attributes to Differe	entiate Specific Morp	hologies			
			GU Forcing	Low Flow Relative	GU Orientation	GU Position	Low Flow Water		
				Roughness		ļ	Surface Slope	Also Known As	Similar to or Confused With
L	Planar								Ladro but depositional facture:
									Ledge, but depositional feature; Terrace, but within active bankfull
	1	Bench	Not Forced	Varies	Streamwise	Bank-Attached	Varies	Inset Floodplain	channel
		20.000							Bench, but erosional feature;
									Terrace, but within active bankfull
	L	Ledge	Not Forced	Varies	Streamwise	Bank-Attached	Varies	Inset Floodplain	channel
									Dup, but much lower and is student
	1	Glide	Not Forced	Low (< 0.5)	Streamwise	Varies	Shallow	NA	Run, but much lower gradient water surface and low relative roughness
				2011 ( 0.0)			Shallow to		Sometimes confused with riffles or
	L	Run	Not Forced	Moderate (< 1)	Streamwise	Varies	Moderate	NA	glides
									Cascade, but less relative
		D 11	) (		Ohannaina		Madanata ta Otaan		roughness and lacking vertical
	L	Rapid	Varies	High (> 1)	Streamwise	Varies	Moderate to Steep	NA	drops Rapid, but more relative roughness,
									steeper water surface, and vertical
									drops; Sometimes confused with
	L	Cascade	Varies	Very High (>>1)	Streamwise	Varies	Steep	NA	step-pools
L	Concavity (e.ç	g. Pool)							
									Similar to other pools but found in
		Backwater	Grade Control	low(c 0.5)	Varies	Side Channel	Flat	Slackwater	disconnected side channels or secondary channels
		Dackwalei		Low (< 0.5)	varies	Side Chariner	i idi	Sidcrivialei	Structurally forced pool, but forced
									by bar shunting flow against
	L	Bar-Forced Pool	By Bar	Low (< 0.5)	Streamwise	Bank-Attached	Shallow	NA	resistant boundary
			Grade Control from Beaver						A specific example of a dammed
	L	Beaver Pond	Dam SE	Low (< 0.5)	Streamwise	Channel Spanning	Flat	Beaver Pool	pool
									Shallow thalweg, but generally steeper and dissecting bar; Also
									confused with flood channels, but
						Bank-Attached or			these are in-channel short-circuiting
	L	Chute	Planform	Varies	Streamwise	Mid-Channel	Moderate	NA	forms
	L	Confluence Pool	Planform	Low (< 0.5)	Streamwise	Varies	Shallow	Scour Pool	
									Beaver pond, but forcing SE can be
									any channel spanning obstruction. Also confused with the upstream
	1	Dammed Pool	DS Grade Control from SE	Low (< 0.5)	Streamwise	Channel Spanning	Shallow	NA	pool in a step pool
		Plunge Pool	US Grade Control from SE	Low (< 0.5)	Transverse	Varies	Flat	Scour Pool	
		Ramp	Planform	Varies			Varies	NA	
		· · ·				1			Chute, but flow is upstream in
	L	Return Channel	Forced by Eddy Bar	Varies	Streamwise	Bank-Attached	Varies	NA	association with eddy
			Freedburgher Old				Varies, but		
	1	Shallow Thalweg	Forced by planar GU or occasionally bars	Varies	Streamwise	Bank-Attached	Typically Moderate	NA	Chute, but does not dissect a bar surface
				vanos			moderate		Anabranch or Secondary Channel,
									except that area separating
						Mid-Bankfull			secondary and primary channel is
	L	Secondary Channel	Planform	Varies	Streamwise	Channel	Varies	NA	< bankfull
			Flow Width Constriction	Low (< 0.5)	Streamwise	Bank-Attached or Mid-Channel	Varios	NA	Sometimes called 'scour pool'
	L	Structurally-Forced Pool	I UIDED BY SE	LUW (N 0.0)	Sueditiwise	wild-Grianner	Varies		Sometimes called scour pool

## FILTERING THROUGH TAXONOMY

		Active							
Tier 1:	In-Channel	Floodplain	Terrace	Fan	Hillslope		Total:		
Tier 1 Count	1	1	1	1	1		5		
Tier 2 Count	3	3	3	3	3		15		
	Tier 3:								
∟ Concavities	12	12	1	1	1		27		
∟ Convexities	18	5	0	3	1		27		
∟ Planar	6	5	2	0	1		14		
Гier 3 Subtotal:	36	22	3	4	3		68		

- Prior to Tiers... could be one of 68
- @ Tier 1, knowing I'm in channel, one of 36
- @ Tier 2, knowing I'm a concavity, one of 12

@ Tier 3, knowing I'm forced, one of

# TIER 3 APPLIED



### ASSEMBLAGES

CHaMP

A





### IF I LAND ON A RIFFLE, BUT DON'T KNOW IT

GEOMORPHIC FORM

Riffle Crest

step-like, channel-spanning features.

#### RIFFLE

Pool Tail



#### PROCESS INTERPRETATION Riffles are zones of sediment accumu

Riffles are zones of sediment accumulation that increase channel roughness during high flow stages, and are maintained or built at various flow stages by the consequent increased turbulence and reduced velocity over the steepened surface. Riffles are often dissected at low flow stages, and reworked or removed altogether at stages higher than bankful.

Riffles form as topographic highs along an uneven longitudinal profile, between bends in sinuous alluvial channels. Alluvial riffles are shallow,

fine-grained flood plain

Point Bar

Bar Forced Pool Undercut Bank

Middle Fork John Day River, OR

#### TYPICAL ADJACENT GEOMORPHIC UNITS

Riffles are commonly associated geomoprhic units that help to force it as a channel spanning bar: the *riffle crest* and steepened planar surface separates the upstream and downstream *Bar-Forced Pools, Bank-attached bars (i.e., Point Bars),* and undercut banks.

#### TYPICAL SALMONID FISH HABITAT ASSOCIATIONS

Typical fish habitat is focused at pool tails at the tops of riffles where holding occurs, and pool heads at their bases, where fish can forage on food items being washed down from the steepened ramp above.

Anadromous life stages	Fry	Parr (Juvinile)	Smolt	Adult
Foraging				
Energy Refugia	0	0	0	0
Predation Refugia	~	1	1	~
Thermal Refugia	х	x	Х	х

na- Not Applicable ; X - Not Typically Important ; O - Occasionally Provided ; 🖌 Critical

Riffle Crest

Jungle Creek, Middle Fork John Day Watershed, OR

Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3							
Stage Height	Shape/Type	Specific Morphology	,	Key Attributes to Differ	entiate Specific Mor	phologies			
	-		GU Forcing	Low Flow Relative Roughness	GU Orientation	GU Position	Low Flow Water Surface Slope	Also Known As	Similar to or Confused With
In-Channel									
	Convexity (e.g	j. bar) 👝							
	1	Dealemeter Der	Grade Control	Varies	Varies	Side Channel	Varies	Slackwater deposit	Similar to other bars but found in disconnected side channels or secondary channels
	L	Báckwater Bar Boulder Bar	Flow Width	Varies	Streamwise	Bank-Attached or Mid-Channel	Varies	Boulder Berm	Similar to other bars, but in much higher gradient systems.
	/								An amalgamation of multiple unit bars and other bar types (complex
		Compound Bar	Varies	Varies	Varies	Varies	Varies	Bar complex	history)
		Confluence Bar	Grade Control	Varies	Radial DS & Streamwise	Bank-Attached	Varies	NA	Expansion bar, except in response to gradient drop from tributary to mainstem.
		Confidence Dai	Planform & Flow Width			Bank / Wallohoa	Vanoo		Point bar, but no longer bank-
	L	Diagonal Bar	Expansion	Varies	Diagonal	Mid-Channel	Varies	Mid-Channel Bar	attached (separated by chute)
	L	Eddy Bar	Planform, SE, and/or Flow Width Constriction	Varies	Streamwise	Bank-Attached or Mid-Channel	Varies	Separation Bar	_
		Expansion Bar	Flow Width	Varies	Transverse	Mid-Channel	Varies	NA	Transverse bar, but in response to slope lowering, and does not span channel
				Valies	Transverse	Bank-Attached or	Valies		
	L	Forced Bar	Varies	Varies	Streamwise	Mid-Channel	Varies	NA	Eddy Bar
	L	Forced Riffle		Modera (< 1)	Transverse	Channel Spanning	Shallow	NA	Riffle, but forced by channel spanning structural element buried in bed
		Lateral Bar	By Planform or By Flow	Varies	Streamwise	Bank-Attached	Varies	Alternate Bar	Point bars, but can be in bends with lower curvature or channels with lower sinuosity or straight
			···	Valios	of callwise	Bunk / Wallow	Vulies		Similar to other mid-channel bars but distinctive in DS tear-dropped
	L	Lobate Bar	Grade Control	Varies	Radial DS	Mid-Channel	Varies	Mid-Channel Bar	shape and avalanche faces
									Similar to other mid-channel bars but distinctive in elongated streamwise orientation and
	L	Longitudinal Bar	Flow Width	Varies	Moderate (< 1)	Mid-Channel	Varies	Mid-Channel Bar	upstream convexity at bar head
		Point Bar	Planform forced	Varies	Streamwise	Bank-Attached	Varies	Bank-Attached Bar	Alternate bars, but in bends with higher curvature
		Reattachment Bar	Varies	Varies	Streamwise	Bank-Attached	Varies	NA	Eddy Bar, but occurs DS of both flow separation and reattachment point
		Ridge	Forced by SE and Flow Separation	Varies	Streamwise	Bank-Attached	Varies	NA	Scroll bar or levee; generally straighter, more linear feature
	<u> </u>	Riffle	Flow Width Expansion	Moderate (< 1)	Transverse	Channel Spanning	Moderate	Transverse Bar	Sometimes confused with runs
		Sçroll Bar	Planform & Flow Width Expansion	Varies	Streamwise	Bank-Attached	Varies	NA	Ridge, but positioned on point bar and generally curved
	L	Unit Bar	Flow Width Expansion	Varies	Varies	Varies	Varies	NA	The fundamental building block of al bars

# TIER 1 – ON THAT RIFFLE



• Not so bad...

ISEMP

CHaMP

QNNEVILLE



# TIER 2 - SHAPE





• Just add a verb to tier 1, so it's a Concave In Channel Unit (i.e. concavity)



# TIER 3 - MORPHOLOGY



ISEMP

CHaMP

Key Tier 3 Attributes:	Types	Description
		Occurs when a non-uniform hydraulic flow pattern creates a flow environment conducive to forcing the formation,
GU Forcing		maintenance or accentuation of a geomorphic unit
	L Not Forced	The GU forms on its own (e.g. free bars)
	L By Structural Element	Forcing can be caused by structural elements (e.g. large woody debris causing a plunge pool or an eddy bar)
	∟ By Geomorphic Unit	Forcing can be caused by another geomorphic unit (e.g. a pool can be forced by a bar)
	∟ By Planform	Forcing can be induced by sinuosity (e.g. flow separation on inside bends leading to point bars)
	∟ By Flow Width	Forcing is often associated with flow width expansion for depositional units and flow with constriction for erosional units
GU Orientation		The orientation is defined by the longest axis of the geomorphic unit and relative to dominant flow direction.
	L Transverse	Transverse units are oriented perpendicular to the flow (e.g. riffles)
	∟ Streamwise	Streamwise units are oriented parallel to the flow (e.g. forced pools are elongated in a streamwise fashion associated with the convergent flow jet)
	∟ Diagonal	Diagonal units intersect the channel at an angle and flow is shunted diagonally over them at high flows.
	∟ Radial DS	Some units have lobate shapes (e.g. <i>lobate bars</i> ) which
GU Position		Defines the position of the GU within the low-flow channel
	L Bank-Attached	Many units are appended to the channel margins (e.g. <i>point bars</i> ); Note 'bank-attached' is common termionology in the fluvial literature even though the entire length of all channels are bound by true banks. Channel margins is a more generic term, but less common.
	L Channel Spanning	Some units are bank-attached on both sides and span the entire low flow channel (e.g. <i>riffles</i> )
	L Mid-Channel	Some units are not attached to a channel margin and occur in the center of a channel (e.g. longitudinal bar)
	∟ Side-Channel	For some mapping purposes, it is helpful to differentiate units that only occur in side and/or secondary channels
Low Flow Water Surface S	Slope	Especially for in-channel, planar units, low flow water surface slope is a helpful way of differentiating across the spectrum from low-slope glides, through intermediate slope runs and riffles, through high slope rapids, up to very high slope cascades.
	∟ Flat	Water surface slope = 0
VAKIES	∟ Shallow	Water surface slope < 0.005
	∟ Moderate	Water surface slope > 0.005 & < 0.03
	L Steep	Water surface slope > 0.03
Low Flow Relative Rough	iness	Relative roughness is defined as the ratio of roughness height to flow depth $(z_0/h)$ .
	∟Low	Relative roughness < 0.5 (i.e. majority of flow depth not obstructed by substrate)
VARIES	∟ Moderate	Relative roughness between 0.5 and 1 (i.e. majority of flow depth obstructed by substrate, but substrate not protruding from water surface)
	L High	Relative roughness > 1 (i.e. particles protruding from water surface)
	∟ Very High	Relative roughness >> 1 (i.e. flow depth is negligible relative to massive boulders protruding from water surface)

Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3		•		-			
Stage Height	Shape/Type	Specific Morphology	-	Kev Attributes to Differ	ferentiate Specific Morp	phologies			
			GU Forcing	Low Flow Relative Roughness	GU Orientation	GU Position	Low Flow Water Surface Slope	Also Known As	Similar to or Confused With
In-Channel									
	Convexity (e.g	ı. bar)							
									Similar to other bars but found in
	L	Backwater Bar	Grade Control	Varies	Varies	Side Channel	Varies	Slackwater deposit	disconnected side channels or secondary channels
	L	Boulder Bar	Flow Width	Varies	Streamwise	Bank-Attached or Mid-Channel	Varies		Similar to other bars, but in much higher gradient systems.
	1	Compound Bar	Varies	Varies	Varies	Varies	Varies	Bar complex	An amalgamation of multiple unit bars and other bar types (complex history)
				Vanoo	Vanoo	Varioo	Vanoo		Expansion bar, except in response
	1	Confluence Bar	Grade Control	Varies	Radial DS & Streamwise	Bank-Attached	Varies	NA	to gradient drop from tributary to mainstem.
			Planform & Flow Width						Point bar, but no longer bank-
	L	Diagonal Bar	Expansion	Varies		Mid-Channel	Varies		attached (separated by chute)
	L	Eddy Bar	Planform, SE, and/or Flow Width Constriction	Varies	Streamwise	Bank-Attached or Mid-Channel	Varies		
									Transverse bar, but in response to
				Maria		Mil Observal	Maria		slope lowering, and does not span
	L	Expansion Bar	Flow Width	Varies	Transverse		Varies	NA	channel
	L	Forced Bar	Varies	Varies	Streamwise		Varies	NA	Eddy Bar
									Riffle, but forced by channel
	L	Forced Riffle	Channel Spanning SE	Moderate (< 1)			Shallow	NA	spanning structural element buried in bed
	1	Lateral Bar	By Planform or By Flow Width	Varies	Streamwise	Bank-Attached	Varies	Alternate Bar	Point bars, but can be in bends wit lower curvature or channels with lower sinuosity or straight
									Similar to other mid-channel bars but distinctive in DS tear-dropped
	L	Lobate Bar	Grade Control	Varies		Mid-Channel	Varies		shape and avalanche faces
	1	Longitudinal Bar	Flow Width	Varies	Moderate (< 1)	Mid-Channel	Varies	Mid-Channel Bar	Similar to other mid-channel bars but distinctive in elongated streamwise orientation and upstream convexity at bar head
		Point Bar	Planform forced	Varies	Streamwise	Bank-Attached	Varies	Bank-Attached Bar	Alternate bars, but in bends with higher curvature
	L	r omt bar							Eddy Bar, but occurs DS of both flow separation and reattachment
	L	Reattachment Bar	Varies	Varies	Streamwise	Bank-Attached	Varies	NA	point
	L	Ridge	Forced by SE and Flow Separation	Varies	Streamwise	Bank-Attached	Varies	NA	Scroll bar or levee; generally straighter, more linear feature
	L	Riffle	Flow Width Expansion	Moderate (< 1)	Transverse	Channel Spanning	Moderate	Transverse Bar	Sometimes confused with runs
		Scroll Bar	Planform & Flow Width Expansion	Varies	Streamwise	Bank-Attached	Varies	NA	Ridge, but positioned on point bar and generally curved
									The fundamental building block of a
	L	Unit Bar	Flow Width Expansion	Varies	Varies	Varies	Varies	NA	bars

#### SHALLOW THALWEG

#### Tier 1 - in-channel ∟Tier 2 - Concavity (in channel cross section)

	Key Attributes	to Differentiate Specif	ic Morphologies	
GU Forcing	Low Flow Relative Roughness	GU Orientation	GU Position	Low Flow Water Surface Slope
Forced by planar GU or occasionally bars	Varies	Streamwise	Bank- Attached	Varies, but typically moderate

#### GEOMORPHIC FORM



channel cross-section

A shallow thalweg is an in-channel concavity, found on the outside bend of a channel that is distinctive because although it shows a concave form in cross section, longitudinally it lacks a concavity or residual pool. A thalweg is simply the deepest part of the cross section of the channel, which can be traced as a line along any channel. A shallow thalweg is a concave geomorphic unit that surrounds this line and is distinctive for its bank-attached position and its elongate and streamwise orientation along the thalweg.

#### Asotin River, Washington



#### TYPICAL CONFIGURATIONS

Shallow thalwegs are typically found along the banks of the outside bends of channels, where the main channel is dominated by planar geomorphic units (e.g. runs, glides, rapids), or occasionally poorly defined, broad-faced bars. Their position is one in which you often expect to find a pool, but this concavity lacks a residual pool of qualifying size.



#### PROCESS INTERPRETATION

Shallow thalwegs are typically stable geomorphic units characterized by modest erosion in an outside bend (usually of low curvature), that is inadequate to excavate or maintain a pool. They form adjacent to planar geomorphic units or broad bars that steer the flow towards the edge of the channel so they winnow out a thalweg where those flows are concentrated. Shallow thalwegs are maintained most often in stable channels that are transport limited (e.g. plane-bed). They also form in non-transport limited situations where active bars or planar units force lateral migration and bank erosion where the rate of retreat is overwhelmed by deposition from the bar, which prevents a pool from fully forming (for pools to form in this situation would require a more resistant bank to concentrate the flow energy).

#### SIMILAR TO OR MISTAKEN WITH

Shallow thalwegs are similar to elongated bar-forced pools on outside bends and could be confused with them if the pool is weakly formed. They could also be confused with a chute, which tends to short-circuit flows across bar or floodplain surfaces and not be located on an outside bend.

# GUT Workflow

ONNEVILLE

ISEMP

CHaMP

#### Geomorphic Unit Toolbar Workflow



# A little more 'under the hood':

#### PLANAR (RAPID, CASCADE) DELINEATION



### AUTOMATED METHODS

- All CHaMP topographic surveys run through the RBT
- Developing/testing using sites we know well
- Make rules scaleable!!
   (e.g. 10% avg bankfull width NOT 2 m)
- **GUTs** will be part of RBT tool

CHaMP

ISFM

Hydraulic Model

Outputs



## TOPOGRAPHIC LINES OF EVIDENCE



SEMI

CHaMP



### **TRANSFORM FUNCTIONS...**



Concavity Transform Functions Bear Valley Creek, Lemhi Basin, ID



## TFs TRANSFORM IT TO A PROBABILITY



СНаМР

### **COMBINE PROBABILITIES**



CHaMP

### **REPEAT FOR ALL** CATEGORIES

WEIGHTED

20

30

ISEMP

40

10

ONNEVILL

Ν 0

#### **Tier 2 Membership Rasters**

Bear Valley Creek, Lemhi Basin, ID



### THRESHOLD MEMBERSHIP



#### **Tier 2 Geomorphic Units**

Bear Valley Creek, Lemhi Basin, ID





#### **Tier 2 Membership Rasters**


#### **Tier 2 Geomorphic Units**

SEMI

CHaMP

Big Timber Creek, Lemhi Basin, ID

# SOME OTHER EXAMPLES

2 Geomorphic Units

i River, Lemhi Basin, ID

0 5 10 15 20 25 Kilometers **Tier 2 Geomorphic Units** In-Channel Transition Channel Interface Concavity Convexity Bank Planar Out of Channel Hillslope/Fan Terrace Active Floodplain Cutbank 0 10 20 30 40 50 Meters



# **GUT STATUS & CHAMP**

- GUT UI beta training for advanced crews
  - Important for crews to have understanding of different units used in the classification.....
- Tier 2 🗹
- Tier 3 ?
- Semi-automated workflow
  - Crews can over-ride automatic classification
  - Split units
- Track edits hugely helpful for further development



## GU Relationship to NREI & HSI

Preliminary work... but coherent relationships...

CHaMP



### NREI vs. GU



Geomorphic Units

### Juvenile Steelhead NREI vs. GU



Drift 0.75 bugs/sq m, Temperature 8 C

• Another way of looking at it...



#### Juvenile Steelhead HSI vs. GU



I S E M P СНаМР

ONNEVILL.

• d

#### **VELOCITY VS. DEPTH TO GUs**



• Coherent and consistent patterns...



CHaMP

# HOW WELL DO WE DO?



## MANUAL VS. MODELED AGREEMENT

		Manually Mapped						
		Out of Channel				In Channel		
		Hillslope/ Uplands	Inactive Floodplain	Active Floodplain	Banks	Concavities	Convexities	Planar Features
Modeled	Hillslope/Uplands	85%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%
	Inactive Floodplain	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Active Floodplain	15%	0%	96%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Banks	0%	0%	0%	97%	1%	1%	0%
	Concavities	0%	0%	0%	0%	85%	0%	0%
	Convexities	0%	0%	3%	2%	4%	92%	26%
	Planar Features	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%	6%	74%

- Generally very good cell-to-cell agreement
- Where discrepant, typically only 1 or 2 other possibilities
- Poorest agreement between planar features (being picked up as bars)



#### WHAT ABOUT THESE GREY AREAS?

#### **TRANSITION ZONES**



# **RECALL A PROCEDURAL TREE**



264

Chapter 9



#### Figure 9.6 The River Styles procedural tree

Each River Style is identified on the basis of its planform, assemblage of geomorphic units, and bed material texture. Depending on the valley setting, different sequences of procedures are applied to identify the River Style. Modified from Brierley et al. (2002). Reproduced with permission from Elsevier, 2003.

#### • Fruit @ end of tree... i.e. an Expectation or Prediction



#### SPECIFIC RIVER STYLES TREE



P - - - -

Figure 9.10 The Bega catchment River Styles tree (from Fryirs, 2001)

## IF I KNOW REACH TYPE & CONDITION...



# TAKE HOMES...

СНаМР

- Clearer definition of GU's allows better process inferences & functional habitat inferences
- GU's can be consistently derived from topography alone
- Emergence of transition zones from probabilistic treatment a fundamentally important unit itself!
- Promising correspondence with fish habitat... could help in mechanistic link for upscaling
- Look out for GUT to explore GUTs of your reach!

