

CHaMP Pilot Project 2012 Completion Report

Mike Ward CHaMP Coordinator

November 27, 2012

BPA Project 2011-006-00

Acknowledgments

Sponsors: Bonneville Power Administration NOAA Fisheries

Collaborators:

Columbia River Inter-Tribal Fish Commission Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife Landowners across 9 watersheds Special Thanks:

> Northwest Power & Conservation Council Independent Scientific Review Panel





2012 Program Recap Status and Trend Highlights Data Analysis Highlights 2013 Planning 2012 Recap: 2011 Lessons Learned Follow-Up

CHaMP Provides Building Blocks for Management Coordinated Engagement With Managers Improve Data Performance and Flow

2012 Recap: Building Blocks for Management

	CHaMP Sites	ISEMP Sites	Total Sites Surveyed With CHaMP Protocol and Tools
Methow	19	0	19
Entiat	18	42	60
Wenatchee	22	0	22
Tucannon	25	4	29
South Fork Salmon	25	0	25
Lemhi	25	26	51
John Day	50	50	100
Upper Grande Ronde	55	0	55
CHaMP/PIBO	12	0	12
BPA-Funded Total Sites	251	122	373

* Total BPA-funded visits = 426

** Non-BPA-funded sites = 53: 18 sites in the Asotin were funded/surveyed by Washington SRSRB, 29 sites were surveyed in California by CDFG-CMP, 3 sites surveyed for USBR in Methow, 3 sites in Meacham Creek, Oregon.

2012 Recap: Coordination With Managers

- Data Analysis Strategy Development: September 2012
- -- Continue to Develop Status and Trend Data Displays
- -- Improve Fish/Habitat Modeling with Regional Collaborators as Basis for Interpretation of Habitat Data
- -- Prepare for: Comprehensive evaluation; 2013 BiOp check-in; 2013 BiOp remand; Council MERS plan; 2015 Expert Panel process; 2018 BiOp

2012 Recap: Improve Data Performance and Flow

"Improved" Approach to Challenging Metrics

- -- Better Protocol and Training Improved Efficiency
- -- Improved Standardization
- -- Increased Cost of Each Survey

Improved Tools and Software

- -- Streamlined the data flow process
- -- Improved standardization
- -- Reduced overall effort; shifted work to crews

Status and Trend Highlights



Status and Trend Highlights: Geomorphic Change Detection



Data Analysis Highlights: Optimizing Effort and Data Form

Watershed Level D50 Estimate: Standard Error of Mean by at # Sites, Cross Sections per Site, and Points Measured per Cross Section



Number of Cross Section per Site

Data Analysis Highlights: Reducing Effort with Remote Sensing

